

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address of MMISSO NER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignua 22313-1450 www.usptc.gov

APPLICATION NO	EREING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNIA DOCIALI NO	COZHNAMIOZ ZO	
09.649,084	08 28/2000	Wing Cheung Ho	016660-055	7505	
21839	7590 06/13/2003				
BURNS DO	BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			EDMONDSON, LYNNE RENEE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1725		
				DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) HO ET AL 09/649,084 **Advisory Action Art Unit** Examiner 1725 Lynne Edmondson --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 April 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☑ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) large request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_ Claim(s) rejected: 1-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_. 10. Other: \_\_\_\_



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In all of the references the transducer can be placed at any angle relative to the x-y plane of the the x-y table or support. The support, particularly an x-y table is movable along the x-axis and along the y-axis thereby causing relative movement between the workpiece and transducer along the x and y axes. The transducer is placed at the desired location where it remains during bonding. It is noted that the apparatus of Yoshida, Amorosi and Cheng comprise the same components as the instant apparatus claims and are used for the same purpose, wire bonding. No method claijms are present. The transducer can be fixed in the 45 degree position while the workpiece is moved along the support table. See detailed arguments in Final Rejection.

ELVE